

STRATHBOGIE SHIRE COUNCIL

MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE STRATHBOGIE SHIRE COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY 18 NOVEMBER 2014 AT THE EUROA COMMUNITY CONFERENCE CENTRE COMMENCING AT 5.00 P.M.

Councillors:	Debra Swan (Chair) Colleen Furlanetto Alister Purbrick Patrick Storer Graeme (Mick) Williams	(Lake Nagambie Ward) (Seven Creeks Ward) (Lake Nagambie Ward) (Honeysuckle Creek Ward) (Seven Creeks Ward)
		1

Officers: Steve Crawcour - Chief Executive Officer Roy Hetherington - Director, Asset Services David Woodhams - Director, Corporate and Community

BUSINESS

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Acknowledgement of Traditional Land Owners 'In keeping with the spirit of Reconciliation, we acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land on which we are meeting today. We recognise indigenous people, their elders past and present'.
- 3. Apologies

Councillor Malcolm Little(Hughes Creek Ward)Councillor Robin Weatherald(Mt Wombat Ward)Phil Howard - Director, Sustainable Development

4. Disclosure of Interests

Nil

- 5. Reports of Council Officers
 - 5.1 Climate Change
 - 5.2 Infrastructure
 - 5.3 Private Enterprise
 - 5.4 Public Institutions
 - 5.5 Housing and Recreation
 - 5.6 Tourism
 - 5.7 Organisation
- 6. Urgent Business
- 7. Closure of Meeting to the Public to consider matters listed for consideration in accordance with Section 89(2) of the Local Government Act 1989
- 8. Confirmation of 'Closed Portion' Decision/s

REPORTS INDEX

5.	REPORTS	
5.7	Organisation	
5.7.1	Proposed Road Naming	1
	- Tenneriffe Road	

k

5. REPORTS

5.7 ORGANISATION

5.7.1 <u>Proposed Road Naming</u> <u>- Tenneriffe Road</u>

Author and Department

Director, Asset Services / Asset Services Directorate

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest in relation to advice provided in this report

The author of this report and officers/contractors providing advice in relation to this report do not have a direct or indirect interest, as provided in accordance with the Local Government Act 1989.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to receive submissions made under Section 223 of the Local Government Act in relation to the proposed naming of a new road through privately owned land to provide access to properties at Mount Tenneriffe. It provides connectivity to a crown land reserve containing a well formed roadway at least up to a dwelling on Crown Allotment 9A (see attached map).

Background

Following Council's decision of September 16, 2014 to give public notice of intention to name the road Tenneriffe Road, five submissions were received. All submitters were against naming the road Tenneriffe Road, proposing the alternative of Tuan (Track or Lane).

The submitters concerns are:

- Potential for increased traffic flow and parking issues
- Mistaken opinion that Mount Tenneriffe can be accessed from this road
- The road ends at a private gateway with little maneuverability for traffic

RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive the submissions and consider them at the Ordinary Council meeting of December 16, 2014.

08/15 CRS FURLANETTO/PURBRICK : That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

Alternative Options

The author has considered potential alternative courses of action. No feasible alternatives have been identified

Risk Management

The author considers that there are no significant Risk Management factors relating to the report and recommendation.

5.7.1 Proposed Road Naming

- Tenneriffe Road (cont.)

Strategic Links – policy implications and relevance to Council Plan

The author of this report considers that the report is consistent with Council Policies, key strategic documents and the Council Plan.

Best Value / National Competition Policy (NCP / Competition and Consumer Act 2010 implications

The author of this report considers that the report is consistent with Best Value, National Competition Policy and Competition and Consumer Act 2010 requirements.

Financial / Budgetary Implications

The author of this report considers that the recommendation has no significant capital or recurrent budget considerations.

Economic Implications

The author of this report considers that the recommendation has no significant economic implications for Council or the broader community.

Environmental / Amenity

The author of this report considers that the recommendation has no significant environmental or amenity implications for Council or the broader community.

Community Implications

The author of this report considers that the recommendation has no significant community or social implications for Council or the broader community.

Victorian Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006

The author of this report considers that the recommendation does not limit any human rights under the Victorian Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006.

Legal / Statutory Implications

The author of this report considers that the recommendation has no legal or statutory implications which require the consideration of Council.

Consultation

The author of this report considers that consultation via the Section 223 process is appropriate for the matter under consideration.

Attachments

- 1, Map
- 2. Correspondence from submitters.

Crown allotment 9A

Subject:

FW: Oak Valley Road Subdivision

From: Paddy McCarthy [mailto:thewoolsmith@biopond.com] Sent: Monday, 20 October 2014 10:07 AM To: Roy Hetherington

Cc: <u>iaday@optusnet.com.au</u>; <u>Imaa@biapond.com</u>; <u>mitchellmcauley@amail.com</u>; <u>val.kneebone@biapond.com</u> Subject: Oak Valley Road Subdivision

G'day Roy,

I would like to put my recommendation for the naming of the road which runs off Oak Valley Road past my property at 136 Oak Valley Road, Longwood

I would, after consultation with my neighbours propose "TUAN TRACK" or LANE. This name represents and acknowledges a Indigenous little marsupial carnivore (Phascogale tapoatafa) which I have become quite familiar with since my time in the district. They are a vulnerable species and the name would give them some recognition and people may become aware of their distribution and existence. The naming of the road with "Tenneriffe" in the title would in my opinion be ill advised as people would presume they can easily access. Mt Tenneriffe from this carriageway, and for those that know the access point, it is a very difficult accent from this side. If the council want people to access Mt Tenneriffe then access should be made via Jefferies Road and maybe a car park facility could be then incorporated (this maybe a discussion for another day).

I have Cc. my neighbours in this email as you can see and I believe they are going to respond in the near future. Regards

Paddy McCarthy 136 Oak Valley Rd Longwood, 3665

Subject:

FW: Tuan Track?

From: iaday@optusnet.com.au [mailto:iaday@optusnet.com.au] Sent: Monday, 20 October 2014 3:25 PM To: Roy Hetherington Subject: Re: Tuan Track?

Hi Roy,

I Jonathan Day of 140 OakValley Road,Longwood Vic.3665 Would like to request the name of Tuan Track (Lane) for the new road that borders my property.

Regards, Jonathan Day

0

Subject:

FW: Oak Valley Road Subdivision road name

On 20 Oct 2014, at 6:09 pm, "Imgg@bigpond.com" < Imgg@bigpond.com> wrote:

Hi Roy

My wife and I would like to be involved in the process of naming of the road which boarders our property to the west.

In line with my neighbours opinion I would like to endorse the proposed name of "Tuan Track" or Lane as a name which has been derived from the brush-tailed phascogale (*Phascogole tapoatafa*), also known by its Australian native name tuan.

We do not support any other claims or be involved in any other way in relation to the **brush-tailed phascogale** (*Phascogale tapoatafa*), other than the use of the name "Tuan" for the purpose of identifying a road or lane.

If the track was named "Tenneriffe" our main concern would be the potential for the increase in traffic flow.

The track has no suitable parking and ends in a gate to access private property with no turn around facilities. Increase in traffic flow with will further degrade the track and become a maintenance issue.

Our neighbours have been curtesy copied into this correspondence.

Regards Guy and Lynne Manson "Larra" 264 Oak Valley Rd Longwood 3665.

Subject:

FW: Oak Valley Rd, subdivision name change

On 20 Oct 2014, at 7:07 pm, Mitch McAuley <mitchellmcauley@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Roy, following on from Paddy McCarthy's email regarding the proposed naming of the track to TUAN TRACK or Lane, I fully support this idea. I completely agree that the concern of increase traffic due to naming it Teneriffe Track. The fact that it ends at a private access gate (mine) with no easy way of turning around or reversing could lead to an accident as well as an inconvenience to all concerned. There is also no access to Mt Teneriffe from there unless you climb the rock face. The track is in fair condition, only maintained by Jon Day and myself.

I believe the naming after the indigenous brush-tailed phascogale (Phascogale tapoatafa), also known by its Australian native name tuan is a terrific idea, and may give greater awareness to this small animal that is under threat.

Regards Mitch Dowd 142 Oak Valley Rd, Longwood

Mitch McAuley www.mitchellmcauley.com

Subject:

FW: Naming of New Lane off Oak Valley Road

On 22 Oct 2014, at 10:42 am, "val.kneebone@bigpond.com" <val.kneebone@bigpond.com> wrote:

Hello Roy,

A number of properties adjacent to the lane have significant environmental value and we believe that the name should reflect this. We therefore with discussion with our neighbours support the naming of the lane as "Tuan Lane ". Our preference would be to not call it 'Track'.

We do not support the name of Tenneriffe because:

- potential parking issues
- lack of signage as to what is public and what is private land
- local landholders have historically undertaken maintenance work on the lane.

Regards,

Val.

Val Kneebone 138 Oak Valley Road Longwood. 3665 Ph. 5798 5453 Mob. 0419 328 731

6. URGENT BUSINESS

- 7. CLOSURE OF MEETING TO THE PUBLIC TO CONSIDER MATTERS LISTED FOR CONSIDERATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 89(2) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1989
- 8. CONFIRMATION OF 'CLOSED PORTION' DECISION/S

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING CLOSED AT 5.02 P.M.

Confirmed as being a true and accurate record of the Meeting

...... Chair

16-12-201 Date